







UADA AI Guidelines

September 2025

**Responsible AI use at UADA starts
with every employee.**

Scope

- Generative Artificial Intelligence – a type of artificial intelligence used to create digital content, like text, photos, videos, etc.



Mission and Values

- AI tools can help enhance our mission.
- Misuse of these tools can erode trust.
- Values should provide the guardrails.

Integrity • Collaboration • Accountability • Relevance • Excellence



Guiding Principles

1. Better, not easier.

AI should not be used as a shortcut *at the expense of quality*. AI tools should be used to make our work higher quality.

2. Human input. Always.

Employees should ***always*** review output from AI tools for accuracy and quality.

Does this use of AI create value for my audience?

Other Requirements for Responsible AI

- Protect sensitive data
- Respect copyright
- Be transparent

The screenshot displays a web interface for an 'AI Text Check'. At the top, a green checkmark icon and a '93 Score' badge are visible. The main text area contains a document titled 'Navigating the Future: The Importance of Responsible AI Use'. The text discusses the integration of AI into daily life and outlines three key principles: 1. Transparency and Accountability, 2. Ethical Considerations, and 3. Continuous Learning and Oversight. To the right of the text, a sidebar titled 'Plagiarism and AI text check' shows detection results. It indicates that several sections of the text resemble AI text and that no plagiarism was detected. The interface also includes a 'Generate citation' button and a 'Dismiss' link.

AI Text Check 93 Score

Navigating the Future: The Importance of Responsible AI Use

Artificial intelligence is no longer a distant dream—it's woven into our daily lives, from recommendation algorithms to advanced language models. While AI offers incredible opportunities, responsible use is crucial to ensure it benefits society ethically and safely.

1. Transparency and Accountability AI systems should be clear about how they work and the data they use. Organizations developing AI must take responsibility for their models, ensuring they function as intended and mitigate biases.

2. Ethical Considerations AI should respect human rights and values. Whether it's detecting misinformation, improving accessibility, or assisting in medical research, AI must be designed with fairness and inclusivity in mind.

3. Continuous Learning and Oversight AI evolves rapidly, and staying informed about advancements and potential risks is essential. Governments, businesses, and individuals must collaborate to create

Plagiarism and AI text check APA

This section resembles AI text

Our detector looks for common AI text patterns, which it may also find in text you write. If you use generative AI, you can cite it.

Generate citation Dismiss

This section resembles AI text

This section resembles AI text

This section resembles AI text

We didn't detect plagiarism
Your document doesn't match anything in our references

100% of your text has patterns that resemble AI text
These patterns may show AI text or occur in your writing

177 words

AI Transparency

- Photos and Videos
- Text: Substantial use
- How to disclose use of AI



Image created for CJ using AI

AI was used in the creation of this _____.

AI was used for _____ in the creation of _____. All content has been thoroughly checked by our team for accuracy.

Use Cases, Good and Bad

✓ GOOD

- Reviewing text
- Brainstorming ideas
- Reformatting text
- Project planning
- Building Excel formulas
- Creating summaries

✗ BAD

- Writing a fact sheet or article
- Guiding ethical decision-making
- High-stakes communications
- Analyzing private information

AI Nightmares

- Fake books on summer reading list (Chicago Sun-Times)
- Bogus court cases in legal briefs (Levidow, Levidow & Oberman)
- Dangerous eating disorder advice (National Eating Disorders Assn.)
- Discriminatory hiring tools (Amazon)



AI Nightmares

- AI use in scholarly literature

nature  Search  Log in

Explore content ▾ About the journal ▾ Publish with us ▾

[nature](#) > [correspondence](#) > article

CORRESPONDENCE

AI-generated threats

By [Xusen Cheng](#)

WIRED SECURITY POLITICS THE BIG STORY MORE ▾

AMANDA HOOVER SCIENCE AUG 17, 2023 7:00 AM

Use of AI Is Seeping Into Academic Journals—and It's Proving Difficult to Detect

Ethics watchdogs are looking out for potentially undisclosed use of generative AI in scientific writing. But there's no foolproof way to catch all yet.

 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Surfaces and Interfaces

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/surfaces-and-interfaces 

The phrase “**Certainly! Here is...**” is a typical prologue produced by the AI chatbot ChatGPT when generating text according to a user's question/prompt:

1. Introduction

Certainly, here is a possible introduction for your topic: Lithium-metal batteries are promising candidates for high-energy-density rechargeable batteries due to their low electrode potentials and high theoretical capacities [1,2]. However, during the cycle, dendrites forming on the lithium metal anode can cause short circuit, which can affect the safety and life of the battery [3-5]. Therefore, researchers are indeed focusing on various aspects such as negative electrode structure [10], electrolyte additives [1,2], SEI film construction [13,14], and collector modification [15] to inhibit the formation of lithium dendrites. However, using a separator with high mechanical strength and chemical stability is another promising approach to prevent dendrites from infiltrating the cathode. By incorporating a separator with high mechanical strength, it can act as a physical barrier to impede the growth of dendrites. This barrier can withstand the mechanical stress exerted by the dendrites during battery operation, preventing them from reaching the cathode and causing short circuits or other safety issues. Moreover,

* Corresponding author.

This article does not acknowledge the use of ChatGPT. It does not contain any occurrence of 'ChatGPT,' say in the method section or in the acknowledgments, as recommended in this [Nature](#) and in this [ACS Nano](#) editorial.

Did the authors **copy-paste the output of ChatGPT** and **include this chatbot's prologue** by mistake?

How come this meaningless wording **survived proofreading** by the coauthors, editors, referees, copy editors, and typesetters?

Guiding Principles

1. Better, not easier.

AI should not be used as a shortcut *at the expense of quality*. AI tools should be used to make our work higher quality.

2. Human input. Always.

Employees should ***always*** review output from AI tools for accuracy and quality.

Does this use of AI create value for my audience?

**Responsible AI use at UADA starts
with every employee.**

Questions

nkordsme@uark.edu